



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,225	02/14/2002	Fernando Donate	38342-178463	6196

30827 7590 11/17/2004

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

BLANCHARD, DAVID J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1642

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/074,225

Applicant(s)

DONATE ET AL.

Examiner

David J Blanchard

Art Unit

1642

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 August 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-4, 16-48, 50 and 51 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 5-15 and 49 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/6/04; 3/4/03</u> . | 6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>Exhibits A-C</u> . |

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group 1, claims 1, 5-6, 11-15 and 49 in part and claims 7-10 in the reply filed on 8/30/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that the invention of Group 2, drawn to the same claims as Group 1, but including rabbit HPRG polypeptide (SEQ ID NO:6), which has the same functional attributes and it would be proper to rejoin Group 2 for initial examination. This is found persuasive and the restriction requirement between the inventions of Groups 1 and 2 is hereby WITHDRAWN. The restriction requirement between Groups 3-124 is maintained for reasons of record.
2. Claims 2-4, 16-48 and 50-51 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.
3. Claims 1, 5-15 and 49 are under examination to the extent that the polypeptide or peptide comprise a human HPRG (SEQ ID NO:5) or rabbit HPRG (SEQ ID NO:6).

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1, 5-7, 11-12 and 49 are objected to because of the following informalities:
Claims 1, 5-7, 11-12 and 49 are drawn to non-elected inventions.
Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1, 5, 7-15 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicants regard as their invention.

a. Claims 1, 5, 7-15 and 49 are indefinite for reciting "human rabbit HPRG" in claim 1. Does the phrase "human rabbit HPRG" mean the human HPRG or the rabbit HPRG or is some human-rabbit hybrid HPRG contemplated by the phrase?

b. Claims 11 and 12 are indefinite for reciting "therapeutically effective amount" because it is unclear what the amount is intended for. The phrase is indefinite when the claims do not state the function, which is to be achieved. In re Frederiksen, 213 F2d 547, 102 USPQ 35 (CCPA 1954)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8. Claims 1, 5-15 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably

Art Unit: 1642

convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is insufficient written description encompassing “a sequence variant of SEQ ID NO:5 or SEQ ID NO:6 having substantially the same biologic activity of inhibiting angiogenesis, endothelial cell proliferation or endothelial tube formation” because the relevant identifying characteristics of the genus such as structure of other physical and/or chemical characteristics of “a sequence variant of SEQ ID NO:5 or SEQ ID NO:6” are not set forth in the specification as-filed, commensurate in scope with the claimed invention. Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19 USPQ2d 1111, makes clear that “applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the ‘written description’ inquiry, whatever is now claimed.” (see page 1117). The specification does not “clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed.” (see Vas-Cath at page 1116).

Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016.

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See Fiddles v. Baird, 30 USPQ2d 1481, 1483. In Fiddles v. Baird, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found unpatentable due to lack of written description for the broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

With respect to a “sequence variant of SEQ ID NO:5 or SEQ ID NO:6 having substantially the same biologic activity of inhibiting angiogenesis, endothelial cell proliferation or endothelial tube formation”, per the *Enzo* court’s example of a description of an anti-inflammatory steroid couched “in terms of its function of lessening inflammation of tissues,” which, the court stated, “fails to distinguish any steroid from others having the same activity or function,” and which therefore, fails to satisfy the written-description requirement. Similarly, a “sequence variant of SEQ ID NO:5 or SEQ ID NO:6 having substantially the same biologic activity of inhibiting angiogenesis, endothelial cell proliferation or endothelial tube formation” does not distinguish the sequence variant from others having the same activity or function and as such does not satisfy the written-description requirement. Mere idea or function is insufficient for written description; isolation and characterization at a minimum are required. The identity of the compound, and the description must convey what the compound is, and not just what it does.

The guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph “written description” requirement make clear that if a claimed genus does not show actual reduction to practice for a representative number of species; then the requirement may be alternatively met by reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e., structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the genus (Federal register, Vol.

Art Unit: 1642

66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001, see especially page 1106 column 3).

Applicant is reminded that Vas-Cath makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. 112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

9. Claims 1, 5-15 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated anti-angiogenic polypeptide or peptide having the sequence of the histidine-proline-rich (H/P) domain of human histidine-proline rich glycoprotein (HPRG) (SEQ ID NO:5) and the H/P domain of rabbit HPRG (SEQ ID NO:6) and an affinity ligand for binding to or isolating said human HPRG and said rabbit HPRG, does not reasonably provide enablement for sequence variants of human HPRG or sequence variants of rabbit HPRG or an affinity ligand for binding to or isolating human HPRG sequence variants or rabbit HPRG sequence variants. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The factors considered when determining if the disclosure satisfies the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is undue include, but are not limited to: 1) nature of the invention, 2) state of the prior art, 3) relative skill of those in the art, 4) level of predictability in the art, 5) existence of working examples, 6) breadth of claims, 7) amount of direction or guidance by the inventor, and 8) quantity

Art Unit: 1642

of experimentation needed to make or use the invention. *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

The claims are drawn to an isolated anti-angiogenic polypeptide or peptide having the H/P domain of human HPRG (SEQ ID NO:5) or the H/P domain of rabbit HPRG (SEQ ID NO:6) and sequence variants thereof, which broadly encompasses analogs, derivatives, fragments, and homologs of the human HPRG and rabbit HPRG, wherein the polypeptide or peptide or sequence variant is diagnostically or therapeutically labeled. The claims are also drawn to an affinity ligand useful for binding to or isolating a human HPRG or rabbit HPRG or sequence variant thereof. The specification teaches the human HPRG sequence and the rabbit HPRG sequence (see pages 11-14) and the HPRG and the H/P domain inhibit angiogenesis (see Examples). The specification discloses on pages 19-23 that fragments, analogs, or derivatives of the HPRG polypeptide would be amendable to functionally equivalent amino acid substitutions, however, this does not provide specific guidance that would enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation. The specification does not disclose the extremely large number of proteins broadly encompassed by the claims and the claims broadly encompass a significant number of inoperative species as in the case of analogs, derivatives, fragments or homologs.

While recombinant and mutagenesis techniques are known, it is not routine in the art to screen for multiple substitutions or multiple modifications of other types and the positions within the protein's sequence where amino acid modifications can be made with a reasonable expectation of success in obtaining similar biological activity are

Art Unit: 1642

limited in any protein. The result of such modifications is unpredictable based on the instant disclosure. One skilled in the art would expect any tolerance to modification shown for a given protein to diminish with each further and additional modification, e.g., multiple substitutions. The sequence of some proteins is highly conserved and one skilled in the art would not expect tolerance to any amino acids modifications in such proteins. The specification does not support the broad scope of the claims, which encompass all modifications and fragments because the specification does not disclose the following:

- a. The general tolerance to modification and extent of such tolerance;
- b. The specific positions and regions of the sequence which can be predictably modified and which regions are critical;
- c. What fragments, if any, can be made which retain the biological activity of the intact protein; and
- d. The specification provides insufficient guidance as to which of the essentially infinite possible choices is likely to be successful.

Thus, applicant has not provided sufficient guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed protein in a manner reasonably correlated with the scope of the claims broadly including any number of additions, deletions, or substitutions and fragments of any size. The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement. See In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 19 24 (CCPA 1970). Without such guidance, the changes which can be made in the protein's structure and still maintain biological activity is unpredictable and the

Art Unit: 1642

experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily and improperly extensive and undue. See Amgen, Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 927 F.2d 1200, 18 USPQ 1016 (Fed. Cir. 1991) at 18 USPQ 1026 1027 and Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986).

Furthermore, protein chemistry is probably one of the most unpredictable areas of biotechnology. For example, the replacement of a single lysine at position 118 of the acidic fibroblast growth factor by a glutamic acid led to a substantial loss of heparin binding, receptor binding, and biological activity of the protein (see Burgess et al, Journal of Cell Biology Vol 111 November 1990 2129-2138). In transforming growth factor alpha, replacement of aspartic acid at position 47 with asparagine, did not affect biological activity while the replacement with serine or glutamic acid sharply reduced the biological activity of the mitogen (see Lazar et al Molecular and Cellular Biology Mar 1988 Vol 8 No 3 1247-1252). Replacement of the histidine at position 10 of the B-chain of human insulin with aspartic acid converts the molecule into a superagonist with 5 times the activity of nature human insulin. Schwartz et al, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA Vol 84:6408-6411 (1987).

Lederman et al (Molecular Immunology 28:1171-1181, 1991) disclose that a single amino acid substitution in a common allele ablates binding of a monoclonal antibody (see entire document).

Li et al (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77:3211-3214, 1980) disclose that dissociation of immunoreactivity from other activities when constructing analogs (see entire document).

Art Unit: 1642

Although biotechnology has made great strides in the recent past, these references serve to demonstrate exactly how little we really know about the art. The art of protein chemistry remains very unpredictable as Burgess et al, Lazar et al, Schwartz et al, Lederman et al and Li et al conclusively demonstrate.

In view of the lack of guidance, lack of examples, and lack of predictability associated with regard to producing and using the myriad of sequence variants of human HPRG and rabbit HPRG as encompassed by the scope of the claims, one skilled in the art would be forced into undue experimentation in order to practice the broadly claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

11. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koide et al (Biochemistry, 25:2220-2225, 1986, Ids reference 3, filed 3/4/2003).

Art Unit: 1642

Claim 1 is drawn to an isolated anti-angiogenic polypeptide or peptide having the H/P domain of the human HPRG sequence (SEQ ID NO:5) or the rabbit HPRG (SEQ ID NO:6).

Koide et al teach the human HPRG polypeptide, which comprises a sequence that is identical to SEQ ID NO:5 (see the alignment attached to the back of this Office Action; Exhibit A) (see Figure 2). The term "having" is interpreted as open claim language meaning that the polypeptide or peptide "having" the sequence of human HPRG (SEQ ID NO:5) may contain additional sequence at the N- or C-terminus or both and therefore reads on the full-length human HPRG polypeptide taught by Koide et al. Products of identical chemical composition cannot have mutually exclusive properties. A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See MPEP 2112.01. Thus, Koide et al anticipates the claim.

12. Claims 1, 11, 13 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Borza et al (Biochemistry, 35:1925-1934, 1996, *Ids* reference #2, filed 6/6/02).

Claim 1 has been described *supra*. Claims 11 and 13 are drawn to an anti-angiogenic pharmaceutical composition comprising an effective amount of the H/P domain of the human or rabbit HPRG polypeptide and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier and said pharmaceutical composition is in a form suitable for injection. Claim 49 is drawn to an

Art Unit: 1642

affinity ligand useful for binding to or isolating an HPRG-binding molecule comprising a human or rabbit HPRG polypeptide or peptide immobilized to a solid support or carrier.

Borza et al teach the rabbit HPRG polypeptide, which comprises a sequence that is identical to SEQ ID NO:6 (see the alignment attached to the back of this Office Action; Exhibit B) (see Figure 1). The term "having" is interpreted as open claim language meaning that the polypeptide or peptide "having" the sequence of rabbit HPRG (SEQ ID NO:6) may contain additional sequence at the N- or C-terminus or both and therefore reads on the full-length rabbit HPRG polypeptide taught by Borza et al. Borza et al also teach the human HPRG polypeptide (see Figure 2). Products of identical chemical composition cannot have mutually exclusive properties. A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See MPEP 2112.01. Borza et al also teach the rabbit HPRG polypeptide in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, which is interpreted to be a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier and in suitable form for injection (see page 1926, left column). For this rejection the intended use as a pharmaceutical composition is given no patentable weight. See MPEP 2111.02. Further, Borza et al teach the rabbit HPRG polypeptide bound to a DEAE-cellulose column (solid support), which is an affinity ligand useful for binding to or isolating an HPRG-binding molecule. Thus, Borza et al anticipate the claims.

Art Unit: 1642

13. Claims 1, 5-15 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Simantov et al (US 2001/0041670 A1, 12/6/1999).

Claims 1, 11, 13 and 49 have been described supra. Claims 5-10, 12 and 14-15 are drawn to a diagnostically and therapeutically labeled anti-angiogenic polypeptide or peptide comprising the H/P domain of the human or rabbit HPRG polypeptide (SEQ ID Nos:5 or 6, respectively), which is diagnostically or therapeutically labeled and a diagnostically acceptable carrier and a therapeutic anti-angiogenic pharmaceutical composition comprising said H/P domain of the human or rabbit HPRG polypeptide, which is bound to a therapeutically active moiety; and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

Simantov et al teach the entire 525 amino acid sequence of human HPRG (i.e., Genbank accession no. P04196) (see Exhibit C, residues 350-497), which is a polypeptide having the sequence of the H/P domain of human HPRG (SEQ ID NO:5) and HPRG binds with high affinity to thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1) (see page 1, left column). The term "having" is interpreted as open claim language meaning that the polypeptide or peptide "having" the sequence of human HPRG (SEQ ID NO:5) may contain additional sequence at the N- or C-terminus or both and therefore reads on the full-length human HPRG polypeptide taught by Simantov et al. Simantov et al teach HPRG immobilized on 96-well strips in solid phase binding assays, which is an affinity ligand useful for binding to or isolating an HPRG-binding molecule (i.e., TSP-1) (see page 12, paragraph [0164]). Simantov et al teach pharmaceutical compositions comprising a TSP-1 binding motif of HPRG (i.e., HPRG polypeptide) and a

pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (also interpreted as a diagnostically acceptable carrier) (see page 3, paragraphs [0039-0040] and page 6 paragraph [0084]). Simantov et al teach labeled TSP-1 binding proteins (i.e., HPRG) as probes and labels including radionuclides, fluorescein, rhodamine, Texas red and phycoerythrin as well as others (see page 10 and page 13, paragraph [0174]).

Products of identical chemical composition cannot have mutually exclusive properties. A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See MPEP 2112.01.

14. Claims 1, 5-15 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Olsson et al (US 2002/0165131 A1, 2/5/2001) as evidenced by Koide et al (Biochemistry, 25:2220-2225, 1986, *Id*s reference 3, filed 3/4/2003) and as evidenced by Borza et al (Biochemistry, 35:1925-1934, 1996, *Id*s reference #2, filed 6/6/02).

The claims have been described *supra*.

Olsson et al teach an anti-angiogenic pharmaceutical composition comprising an HPRG polypeptide and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier and the HPRG polypeptide may be the human HPRG or rabbit HPRG, which are polypeptides having the H/P domain of human or rabbit HPRG (SEQ ID Nos:5 and 6, respectively) as evidenced by Koide et al and Borza et al (see page 1, paragraphs [0009], [0005], [0034] and [0043] and exhibits A and B attached to the back of this Office Action). Olsson et al

Art Unit: 1642

teach effective amounts of the pharmaceutical compositions comprising the HPRG polypeptide (see page 5). Olsson et al teach that the HPRG polypeptides may be coupled to diagnostic or therapeutic moieties including radionuclides, fluorescein, rhodamine as well as others (see page 7). Olsson et al also teach that the HPRG polypeptides may be employed to develop affinity columns (solid support) for the isolation of the HPRG receptor, which is an affinity ligand for binding to or isolating an HPRG-binding molecule (see page 7, paragraph [0074]). Thus, Olsson et al anticipate the claims.

Conclusion

15. No claim is allowed.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Blanchard whose telephone number is (571) 272-0827. The examiner can normally be reached at Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew, can be reached at (571) 272-0787. The official fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.


Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1642

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully,
David J. Blanchard
571-272-0827



LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

RESULT 1
 KGHUGH
 histidine-rich glycoprotein precursor - human
 N;Alternate names: HRG
 C;Species: Homo sapiens (man)
 C;Date: 04-Dec-1986 #sequence_revision 04-Dec-1986 #text_change 16-Jun-2000
 C;Accession: A01287; S29669
 R;Koide, T.; Foster, D.; Yoshitake, S.; Davie, E.W.
 Biochemistry 25, 2220-2225, 1986
 A;Title: Amino acid sequence of human histidine-rich glycoprotein derived from the nuc
 A;Reference number: A01287; MUID:86216149; PMID:3011081
 A;Accession: A01287
 A;Molecule type: mRNA
 A;Residues: 1-525 <KOI>
 A;Cross-references: GB:AB005803; NID:g2280513; PIDN:BAA21613.1; PID:g2280514
 R;Hennis, B.; Havelaar, A.; Kluff, C.
 submitted to the EMBL Data Library, October 1991
 A;Description: PCR detection of a dinucleotide repeat in the human histidine-rich glyc
 A;Reference number: S29669
 A;Accession: S29669
 A;Status: preliminary
 A;Molecule type: DNA
 A;Residues: 214-247 <HEN>
 A;Cross-references: EMBL:Z17218; NID:g32453; PIDN:CAA78925.1; PID:g32454
 C;Comment: Although its physiological function is not yet known, HRG does bind heme, d
 din, and the lysine-binding site of plasminogen. On the basis of its homology with HMW
 lood coagulation cascade.
 C;Comment: The amino half of this protein is homologous to the first two cystatin-like
 could not have inhibitory activity.
 C;Comment: In addition to having a high histidine and proline content, this protein ha
 e-rich' region.
 C;Genetics:
 A;Gene: GDB:HRG
 A;Cross-references: GDB:120055; OMIM:142640
 A;Map position: 3q27-3q27
 C;Superfamily: histidine-rich glycoprotein; cystatin homology
 C;Keywords: duplication; glycoprotein; heparin binding; tandem repeat
 F;1-18/Domain: signal sequence #status predicted <SIG>
 F;19-525/Product: histidine-rich glycoprotein #status predicted <MAT>
 F;19-131/Domain: cystatin homology <CY1>
 F;140-246/Domain: cystatin homology <CY2>
 F;276-321/Region: proline-rich
 F;348-437/Region: histidine-rich
 F;351-497/Region: proline-rich
 F;63,125,344,345/Binding site: carbohydrate (Asn) (covalent) #status predicted
 F;78-89,105-126,218-241/Disulfide bonds: #status predicted

 Query Match 100.0%; Score 966; DB 1; Length 525;
 Best Local Similarity 100.0%; Pred. No. 8.8e-75;
 Matches 148; Conservative 0; Mismatches 0; Indels 0; Gaps 0;

```

Qy      1 HPHKHHSHEQHPHGHHPHAHHPHEHDTHRQHPHGHHHPGHHPHGHHHPGHHPGHCH 60
      |||
Db      350 HPHKHHSHEQHPHGHHPHAHHPHEHDTHRQHPHGHHHPGHHPHGHHHPGHHPGHCH 409
      |||
Qy      61 DFQDYGPCDPPPHNQGHCHGHPGHLRRRGPGKGRPPFHCRIQGSVYRLPPLRKGEV 120
      |||
Db      410 DFQDYGPCDPPPHNQGHCHGHPGHLRRRGPGKGRPPFHCRIQGSVYRLPPLRKGEV 469
      |||
Qy      121 LPLPEANFSPPLPHHKHPLKPDNQPPF 148
      |||
Db      470 LPLPEANFSPPLPHHKHPLKPDNQPPF 497
  
```

Exhibit A

HRG RABBIT

Exhibit B



Entrez PubMed Nucleotide Protein Genome Structure PMC Taxonomy Books

Search for ☐ 1: P04196. Reports Histidine-rich gl...[gi:123523]BLink, Domains,
Links

LOCUS P04196 525 aa linear PRI 15-JUN-2004
DEFINITION Histidine-rich glycoprotein precursor (Histidine-proline rich glycoprotein) (HPRG).

ACCESSION P04196
VERSION P04196 GI:123523
DBSOURCE swissprot: locus HRG_HUMAN, accession P04196;
class: standard.
created: Mar 20, 1987.
sequence updated: Mar 20, 1987.
annotation updated: Jun 15, 2004.

xrefs: gi: 184391, gi: 306888, gi: 2280513, gi: 2280514, gi: 32453, gi: 32454, gi: 68793
xrefs (non-sequence databases): SWISS-2DPAGEP04196, GenewHGNC:5181, MIM 142640, InterProIPR000010, PfamPF00031

KEYWORDS Glycoprotein; Heparin-binding; Repeat; Signal; Polymorphism; Direct protein sequencing.

SOURCE Homo sapiens (human)

ORGANISM Homo sapiens

Eukaryota; Metazoa; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Euteleostomi; Mammalia; Eutheria; Primates; Catarrhini; Hominidae; Homo.

REFERENCE 1 (residues 1 to 525)

AUTHORS Koide,T., Foster,D., Yoshitake,S. and Davie,E.W.

TITLE Amino acid sequence of human histidine-rich glycoprotein derived from the nucleotide sequence of its cDNA

JOURNAL Biochemistry 25 (8), 2220-2225 (1986)

MEDLINE 86216149

PUBMED 3011081

REMARK SEQUENCE FROM N.A.

TISSUE=Liver

REFERENCE 2 (residues 1 to 525)

AUTHORS Wakabayashi,S., Takahashi,K., Tokunaga,F. and Koide,T.

TITLE Direct Submission

JOURNAL Submitted (??-JUL-1997)

REMARK SEQUENCE FROM N.A.

REFERENCE 3 (residues 1 to 525)

AUTHORS Hennis,B.C., Frants,R.R., Bakker,E., Vossen,R.H., van der Poort,E.W., Blonden,L.A., Cox,S., Khan,P.M., Spurr,N.K. and Kluft,C.

TITLE Evidence for the absence of intron H of the histidine-rich glycoprotein (HRG) gene: genetic mapping and in situ localization of HRG to chromosome 3q28-q29

JOURNAL Genomics 19 (1), 195-197 (1994)

MEDLINE 94245171

PUBMED 8188234

REMARK SEQUENCE OF 214-247 FROM N.A.

REFERENCE 4 (residues 1 to 525)

AUTHORS Hughes,G.J., Frutiger,S., Paquet,N., Ravier,F., Pasquali,C., Sanchez,J.C., James,R., Tissot,J.D., Bjellqvist,B. and Hochstrasser,D.F.

TITLE Plasma protein map: an update by microsequencing

JOURNAL Electrophoresis 13 (9-10), 707-714 (1992)

MEDLINE 93092937

Exhibit C

PUBMED [1459097](#)
REMARK SEQUENCE OF 19-27.
TISSUE=Plasma

COMMENT

This SWISS-PROT entry is copyright. It is produced through a collaboration between the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics and the EMBL outstation - the European Bioinformatics Institute. The original entry is available from <http://www.expasy.ch/sprot> and <http://www.ebi.ac.uk/sprot>

[FUNCTION] The physiological function is not yet known. It binds heme, dyes and divalent metal ions. It can inhibit rosette formation and is known to interact with heparin, thrombospondin, and the lysine-binding site of plasminogen. On the basis of its homology with HMW kininogen, the His-rich region of this protein may mediate the contact activation phase of intrinsic blood coagulation cascade.

[SUBCELLULAR LOCATION] Secreted.

[TISSUE SPECIFICITY] Expressed by the liver and secreted in plasma.

[DOMAIN] In addition to having a high His and Pro content, this protein has many internal repeats. 12 tandem repetitions of a 5-residue sequence (GHHPH, consensus) form a histidine-rich region.

[SIMILARITY] Contains 2 cystatin-like domains.

FEATURES

	Location/Qualifiers
source	1..525 /organism="Homo sapiens" /db_xref="taxon:9606"
gene	1..525 /gene="HRG"
Protein	1..525 /gene="HRG" /product="Histidine-rich glycoprotein precursor"
Region	1..18 /gene="HRG" /region_name="Signal"
Region	16..126 /gene="HRG" /region_name="Cystatin-like domain" /note="CY" /db_xref="CDD:14782"
Region	19..525 /gene="HRG" /region_name="Mature chain" /note="Histidine-rich glycoprotein."
Region	19..136 /gene="HRG" /region_name="Domain" /note="Cystatin-like 1."
Bond	bond(24,504) /gene="HRG" /bond_type="disulfide" /note="By similarity."
Site	63 /gene="HRG" /site_type="glycosylation" /note="N-linked (GlcNAc...) (Potential)."
Bond	bond(78,89) /gene="HRG" /bond_type="disulfide" /note="By similarity."
Site	87 /gene="HRG" /site_type="glycosylation" /note="N-linked (GlcNAc...) (Potential)."

Bond bond(105,126)
/gene="HRG"
/bond_type="disulfide"
/note="By similarity."

Site 125
/gene="HRG"
/site_type="glycosylation"
/note="N-linked (GlcNAc...) (Potential)."

Region 137..254
/gene="HRG"
/region_name="Domain"
/note="Cystatin-like 2."

Region 144..241
/gene="HRG"
/region_name="Cystatin-like domain"
/note="CY"
/db_xref="CDD:36"

Bond bond(203,417)
/gene="HRG"
/bond_type="disulfide"
/note="By similarity."

Region 204
/gene="HRG"
/region_name="Variant"
/note="P -> S (in dbSNP:3181917). /FTId=VAR_014528."

Bond bond(218,241)
/gene="HRG"
/bond_type="disulfide"
/note="By similarity."

Region 276..321
/gene="HRG"
/region_name="Domain"
/note="Pro-rich."

Site 344
/gene="HRG"
/site_type="glycosylation"
/note="N-linked (GlcNAc...) (Potential)."

Site 345
/gene="HRG"
/site_type="glycosylation"
/note="N-linked (GlcNAc...) (Potential)."

Region 350..497
/gene="HRG"
/region_name="Domain"
/note="His/Pro-rich."

ORIGIN

```

1 mkaliaaalll itlqyscavs ptdcsavepe aekaldlink rrrdgyllfql lriadahldr
61 venttvyyllv ldvqesdcsv lsrkywndce ppdsrrpsei viggckviat rhshesqdlr
121 vidfncttss vssalantkd spvlidffed teryrkqank alekykeend dfasfrvdri
181 ervarvrge gtgyfvdfsv rncprhhfpr hpnvfgfcra dlfydveald lespknlvin
241 cevfdpqehe ningvpplhg hpfhwggher ssttkppfkp hgsrdhhdhph kphehgpppp
301 pderdhshgp plpqgpppll pmscscsqha tfgtngaqrh shnnnssdlh phkhsheqh
361 phghhphahh phehdthrqh phghhphghh phghhphghh phghhphchd fqdygpcdpp
421 phnqghcchg hgpppghlrr rgpgkgprpf hcrqigsvyr lpplrkgavl plpeanfzpsf
481 plphhkhplk pdnqpfpqsv sescpgkfks gfpqvsmfft htfcpk

```

//

[Disclaimer](#) | [Write to the Help Desk](#)
NCBI | NLM | NIH